Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	an/	10/657,406	MATTHEWS, DONALD
	ai y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Matthew J. Smith	3672
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>			
(1) Matthew J. Smith, examiner.		(3)	
(2) Scott Zimmerman, attorney.		(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 May 2005		Time: <u>10:10a</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C. 103(a)			
Claims discussed: 1			·
Prior art documents discussed: Birdwell (2688465)		·	
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner Smith suggested language to claim 1 to distinguish that applicant's tooth 90 does not move and the Birdwell tooth 23 pivots.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
		·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative	: Signature – if appropriate)